Authentication of “mono-breed” pork products: identification of a coat colour gene marker in Cinta Senese pigs useful to this purpose
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Running title: A DNA marker useful for pork product authentication
Highlights

- Cinta Senese is a local Italian pig breed with a belted coat colour
- The value of its meat production chain should be protected from frauds
- We analyzed the *KIT* gene to identify a suitable DNA marker for this purpose
- A single nucleotide polymorphism (g.43597545C>T) was almost fixed in Cinta Senese
- Probability to correctly assign an unknown meat sample to Cinta Senese was ~1.00.
Abstract

The possibility to authenticate food products is crucial to defend local livestock production chains from frauds. Cinta Senese is an autochthonous pig breed reared under extensive or semi-extensive management systems, mainly in the Tuscany (Italy). A Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) brand for Cinta Senese meat was recently obtained. The breed is characterised by a typical black with a white-belted coat colour pattern. We analyzed a coat colour gene ($KIT$) to identify a DNA marker that could be useful for Cinta Senese meat product authentication. An informative single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) was identified among different $KIT$ gene haplotypes that were obtained from several pigs of different breeds. This SNP (g.43597545C>T; position on porcine chromosome 8 in the Sscrofa10.2 genome assembly) was genotyped by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) in 631 animals of 11 different pig breeds and one wild boar population. Allele T was almost fixed in Cinta Senese (95.9%) and absent in many breeds and was considered the tag SNP of the belted allele. Probability to correctly assign an unknown meat sample to Cinta Senese was 0.97-1.00. This DNA marker can be useful to distinguish meat of Cinta Senese pigs from meat of non-belted pigs. Thus, it could be an important tool not only to defend Cinta Senese pork chain from frauds but also to design breeding plans to eliminate non-belted alleles from this pig population.
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1. Introduction

The identification of the origin and the authentication of food products are important issues to defend livestock production chains from frauds that produce consumer distrust and undermine commercial valorisation of many local and niche products (Montowska and Pospiech, 2012). Among these products, an increasing interest during the last few years has been directed to the development of “mono-breed” labelled lines of meat and dairy products (Fontanesi, 2009). The marketing link between a breed and its products is positively considered by the consumers in terms of perceived quality and contributes to improve profitability as the products are sold at a higher price compared to undifferentiated ones. This link is mainly used to improve the economic incomes derived by local and endangered breeds that are usually less productive. The market added value is important for a sustainable exploitation of rural economies and is the fundamental driver for the conservation of endangered animal genetic resources (Fontanesi, 2009; Hoffmann, 2011).

Cinta Senese is an autochthonous pig breed that is reared under extensive or semi-extensive management systems, mainly in the Tuscany region (Italy). The breed is characterised by a typical black with a white belted coat colour pattern. Its origin dates back to the XIII-XIV century when belted pigs were raised in the hills around Siena as demonstrated by a famous painting of Ambrogio Lorenzetti in the Palazzo Comunale of Siena (a.D. 1340). The importance of this breed was recognized with the early constitution of the breed national herdbook that worked from the 1936 to 1966 and then by a regional herdbook that was active since 1976. Just after the second world war the breed was also used to produce grey or “tramacchiatì” crossbred pigs by crossing with white pigs that were fed with whey produced by the cheese factories of Pianura Padana in the North of Italy. This use was stopped by the transportation ban of the pigs due to an outbreak of diseases in 1968. Since then the number of animals of this breed dropped down, almost leading to the extinction of the breed. At the end of the eighties a few projects started the recovery of this breed and in 1997 the national pig breeders herdbook preliminarily re-activated a section dedicated to Cinta Senese to promote conservation programs that made it possible to constitute a definitive
herdbook section for this breed in 2001 (ANAS, 2015; Franci et al., 2007). These alternate periods influenced the number of Cinta Senese heads: the number increased reaching about 160,000 in the fifties, then it decreased reaching the lowest number of 81 sows and 3 boars recorded in 1986 and after conservation programs the number of pigs raised to about 5000 heads (Franci et al., 2007; Raimondi, 1954). At present about 900 sows and 150 boars are registered in the National Herdbook (ANAS, 2015). The current stabilized number is supported by the constitution of a Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) brand for Cinta Senese meat in 2011 and the development of the Cinta Senese Consortium (Consorzio di Tutela della Cinta Senese). This consortium and the PDO contributed to the visibility of Cinta Senese products and to the added value of the meat of this breed that should be defended from potential frauds.

Coat colour is one of the most important traits that differentiate livestock breeds (Fontanesi, 2009). DNA markers associated with coat colours in different livestock species have been already used to authenticate mono-breed dairy and meat products (D'Alessandro et al., 2007; Russo et al., 2007; Fontanesi et al., 2010, 2011).

As already mentioned, Cinta Senese pigs are characterised by a typical belted coat colour that can be the basis for the development of DNA markers useful for the authentication of Cinta Senese PDO products. The belted allele, in the past thought to be caused by a specific coat colour locus, is one allele of the Dominant white (I) locus series that lists several alleles derived by complex mutations in the v-kit Hardy-Zuckerman 4 feline sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KIT) gene (whose product is involved in the migration of the melanoblast), namely copy number variations (CNV) and a splice site mutation (Fontanesi et al., 2010; Johansson Moller et al., 1996; Marklund et al., 1998; Pielberg et al., 2002). The combination of these mutations produces the classical white coat colour phenotype (CNV and the splice site mutation) or the patch phenotype (CNV). The molecular basis of the roan or Id allele is not completely known even though it is due to variants affecting the KIT gene (Fontanesi et al., 2010). The belted allele was suggested to be derived by an uncharacterised regulatory mutation in the KIT gene, as it was not associated to any duplication of
the KIT gene described for other I alleles (Giuffra et al., 1999). Rubin et al. (2012) reported that the belted allele could be due to duplication events in the promoter region. We recently characterised different KIT gene haplotypes by Sanger sequencing in several cosmopolitan and local pig breeds including a few Cinta Senese pigs and identified potential breed informative haplotypes (Fontanesi et al., 2010). In this study we further analyzed the KIT gene and identified a DNA marker that, by comparing 11 different pig breeds and one wild boar population, was useful to design a simple genotyping test for the authentication of Cinta Senese meat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from blood samples, liver and muscle specimens and hair roots collected from a total of 602 pigs of 11 different breeds (110 Cinta Senese; 105 Italian Large White; 52 Italian Landrace; 86 Italian Duroc; 32 Pietrain; 16 Hampshire; 50 Mora Romagnola; 47 Casertana; 50 Apulo Calabrese; 42 Nero Siciliano; and 12 Meishan) and one wild boar population (29 animals) for a total of 631 animals. Samples were mainly obtained from previous projects (Fontanesi et al., 2010, 2014). Novel blood samples were collected during slaughtering in commercial abattoirs. DNA extraction was carried out using a standard phenol-chloroform protocol (Sambrook et al., 1989) or using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), following the manufacturer instructions.

2.2. PCR analysis

PCR was carried out using two primer pairs. The first primer pair (forward: 5’-CCTCGCAGCAGGAGCAGT-3’; reverse: 5’-CTCAGGGCTGAGCATTCG-3’) was used to amplify a fragment of 388 bp encompassing a portion of intron 17, exon 18, intron 18 and a portion of exon 19 of the porcine KIT gene that was used to re-sequence this gene region in 12 Cinta Senese pigs to confirm previous sequencing data obtained by Fontanesi et al. (2010). The second primer
pair (forward: 5’-TGAACATTGCTGACTCCCCT-3’; reverse: 5’-TGCATTTTACCTAAAGAGAAGGC-3’) was used to amplify a fragment in all 631 animals. The amplicon of 157 bp was used for the PCR-RFLP analysis described below. The amplification reactions were cycled in a 2720 Life Technologies thermal cycler (Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA) with the following steps: 5 min at 95 °C; 35 amplification cycles of 30 sec at 95 °C, 30 sec at 56 °C, 30 sec at 72 °C; 10 min at 72 °C. The final reaction volume was of 20 µL and included: 50-100 ng of template DNA, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (AmpliBioTherm Taq DNA polymerase, Fisher Molecular Biology, Trevose, PA, USA; or EuroTaq DNA polymerase, EuroClone Ltd., Paington, Devon, UK); 1X PCR buffer; 2.5 mM dNTPs; 10 pmol of each primer; 2.0 mM of MgCl₂.

2.3. Sequencing and haplotype analysis

Amplified fragments obtained using the PCR primers of the first pair reported above were preliminarily treated with 1 µl of ExoSAP-IT® (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) for 15 min at 37°C. Treated amplicons were sequenced using the same PCR primers and the Big Dye v3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA). Sequencing reactions were purified using EDTA 0.125 M, Ethanol 100% and Ethanol 70%, following a standard protocol. Then, the purified products were loaded on an ABI3100 Avant sequencer (Life Technologies). Obtained sequences were visually inspected and aligned with the help of the CodonCode Aligner software (http://www.codoncode.com/aligner) using the reference sequence of the corresponding pig KIT gene region (Fontanesi et al., 2010). The 28 KIT gene haplotypes previously reported by Fontanesi et al. (2010) from several pig breeds were aligned and compared with sequences obtained in the current study. These datasets (the previously reported KIT haplotypes and the additional sequences obtained here) were used to identify the most informative single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of the most frequent Cinta Senese haplotype.
2.4. Genotyping and data analyses

The genotyping protocol of the selected SNP (g.43597545C>T; position of the nucleotide coordinate on porcine chromosome 8 in the Sscrofa10.2 genome assembly of the Sus scrofa genome) of the Cinta Senese haplotype was based on PCR-RFLP. The amplified fragments of 157 bp obtained with the second primer pair reported above was digested with the restriction enzyme DdeI. Briefly, restriction analysis was carried out overnight at 37 °C in a total of 13 µL of reaction volume including 5 µL of PCR product, 3 U of DdeI (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) and 1X reaction buffer. Produced DNA fragments were electrophoresed in 2.5% agarose gels running in TBE 1X and visualized with 1X GelRed Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, USA).

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of the genotyped SNP in the analysed populations was tested using the HWE software program (Linkage Utility Programs, Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA). Pairwise allele and genotype frequency differences between Cinta Senese breed and all other populations were evaluated using absolute delta (δ) allele frequency differential. GENEPOP software version 4.0.7 (Rousset, 2008) was used to calculate population pairwise $F_{ST}$ genetic distance and genetic differentiation for each population pair (exact G test; Markov chain parameters were: Dememorisation: 10000; Batches: 100; Iterations per batch: 5000). GenAlEx 6.5 software (Peakall and Smouse, 2012) was used to calculate population assignment of the pigs to the 12 populations using the leave one out option. Probability to incorrectly assign an unknown meat sample to populations different from Cinta Senese or crossbred products of this breed versus all other populations (error rate) was calculated using the frequency of occurrence of Cinta Senese pigs carrying allele C. *Vice versa* probability to correctly assign an unknown meat sample to Cinta Senese ($P_{CS}$) was calculated using the following formula:

$$P_{CS} = 1 - (f_{TT} + f_{CT})$$

where $f_{TT}$ and $f_{CT}$ are the frequency of occurrence of pigs with genotype TT or CT in the other populations.
3. Results

3.1. Identification and analysis of a breed-informative SNP

Haplotype analyses of sequencing data reported by Fontanesi et al. (2010) who sequenced the *KIT* gene in 35 pigs of different breeds (including 6 Cinta Senese pigs) showed that putative Cinta Senese informative haplotypes could be identified (Figure 1). From these preliminary data, only two haplotypes were observed in Cinta Senese pigs (Haplotype 9 and Haplotype 24). However, Haplotype 24 was identified in just one animal of this breed (in heterozygous condition with Haplotype 9). In addition, based on this information, it seemed that just a fragment of this gene, including exon 18 and part of exon 19 (Figure 2) could capture most of Haplotype 9 sequence information due to the presence of a tag marker (g.43597545C>T SNP; a synonymous SNP on exon 18). Resequencing 24 other Cinta Senese haplotypes (from 12 unrelated Cinta Senese pigs), we confirmed that obtained sequences were the same as already reported for the most frequent Cinta Senese haplotype. Allele T of the tag g.43597545C>T marker was present in Cinta Senese pigs whereas allele C was present in all other haplotypes except in one rare haplotype observed in a Nero Siciliano pig (as reported from the previous data; Fontanesi et al., 2010; Figure 1). To further validate these results and to identify a marker that could be useful to authenticate Cinta Senese meat products we set up a PCR-RFLP genotyping protocol to analyze a larger number of animals (a total of 631 pigs from different populations were genotyped). The digestion of the amplified product with *DdeI* produced two fragments of 93 + 64 bp when the amplicon contained allele T whereas when allele C was present the fragment of 157 bp remained undigested (Figure 3).

Allele and genotype frequencies at the g.43597545C>T SNP were obtained from 11 pig breeds including Cinta Senese and four other local Italian pig breeds (Mora Romagnola, Casertana, Apulo Calabrese and Nero Siciliano), three commercial heavy pig breeds (Italian Large White, Italian Landrace and Italian Duroc), two cosmopolitan breeds (Pietrain and Hampshire, the other belted breed included in this study), one Chinese breed (Meishan) and a European wild boar.
population sampled in Italy (Table 1). None of the populations in which at least two alleles of the g.43597545C>T SNP were detected were in Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium. Allele T was the most frequent in Cinta Senese pigs (95.9%). The same allele was the most frequent in the other belted breed (Hampshire) included in our survey (89.9%). In all other populations, allele T was not identified (Italian Large White, Italian Landrace, Pietrain, Mora Romagnola, Apulo-Calabrese, Meishan and European wild boars, in which only allele C was detected) or its frequency was ≤ 6% (Italian Duroc, Casertana and Nero Siciliano). Comparing the frequency of allele T in Cinta Senese vs all other populations (Table 1), δ was ≥ 0.90 in all comparisons (δ was equal to 0.899 in Nero Siciliano) except against the Hampshire breed (δ = 0.084). The assignment test indicated that 91.8% of Cinta Senese pigs could be correctly assigned to their breed based on just the genotyped SNP whereas for all other breeds this test assigned only 25% of Hampshire pigs to the correct breed and for all other breeds assignment was 0%, mainly because the genotyped SNP was not very informative or was fixed for allele C.

Pairwise Fst measure based on the g.43597545C>T SNP indicated that all comparisons of Cinta Senese breed against all other breeds and populations were highly significant (P<0.0001) or in the case of Hampshire the comparison identified closeness with the Cinta Senese breed but was still significant (P<0.05) due to the higher frequency of allele C (0.125) in this cosmopolitan breed (Table 2). Genic differentiation for each population pair (exact G test) including Cinta Senese was highly significant for all other populations except against the Hampshire pigs confirming indirectly the results of the pairwise population matrix of mean genotypic genetic distance (Table 2).

3.2. Usefulness of the KIT g.43597545C>T SNP to differentiate Cinta Senese meat

Based on the genotyping data produced for the g.43597545C>T SNP it is interesting to evaluate if this KIT gene marker could be useful to differentiate Cinta Senese meat from meat of other pig breeds and from wild boars. In this first analysis, we will exclude the other belted breed
(Hampshire) investigated in this study. The Hampshire breed is not present in Italy and it should not be a problem for animals and meat coming from the same country in which Cinta Senese is raised.

None of the analysed Cinta Senese pigs had genotype CC. That means that if genotyping results obtained from meat of unknown origin produce genotype CC, origin from Cinta Senese can be excluded with high confidence. If we assume that there is no selection against the CC genotype in Cinta Senese pigs, the frequency of CC animals in this breed would be very low and equal to the square of the frequency of allele C, according to the formula of Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (0.041 x 0.041 = 0.00168). This value would be the error rate that we might have when the genotyping test produces CC. However, we should consider that animals of this genotype, based on results obtained in this study and from our previous work (Fontanesi et al., 2010), might not have the classical belted coat colour pattern. This is because allele T can be considered the “belted allele”. Therefore, the real situation should consider a selection against the genotype CC in the Cinta Senese breed, as animals may not have the characteristic belted trait that is used to register Cinta Senese pigs as animals of this breed.

If we consider the case in which the genotyping result of a meat of unknown origin is CT, the error rate in assigning this meat to a hybrid pig (obtained by crossing Cinta Senese animals with genotype TT with other animals with genotype CC) different from Cinta Senese is equal to the frequency of CT pigs in the Cinta Senese population (0.089).

If we suppose that our comparison would only include pigs of the investigated breeds (excluding Hampshire) we should add information on the frequency of the CT genotype in all other groups of pigs (Table 1). In this case we could also consider the frequency of this genotype to define the error rate in assigning meat of unknown origin with genotype TT to a hybrid pig (obtained by crossing Cinta Senese animals with genotype TT with other animals with genotype CT) different from Cinta Senese is equal to half the frequency of the CT genotypes in the other populations, considering that crossing TT x CT, only half of the F1 animals would have genotype TT. In this way, based on a total of 505 pigs deduced from Table 1 (all genotyped animals...
excluding Cinta Senese and Hampshire) the number of CT non-Cinta Senese pigs was 15; therefore
\[
\frac{15}{505}/2 = 0.015, \text{ is the error rate for this specific question.}
\]

**Vice versa** probability to correctly assign an unknown meat sample to Cinta Senese (P<sub>CS</sub>) obtained from the data extracted from Table 1 could be \( P_{CS} = 1 - 0.0317 = 0.9683 \) that derives from the formula (1) of the Materials and methods section in which \( f_{TT} = 1/505 \) and \( f_{CT} = 15/505 \), where 1 is the only TT individual identified in the Duroc breed, 15 is the number of CT animals identified in Duroc (n. = 6), Casertana (n. = 4) and Nero Siciliano (n. = 5), and 505 is the total number of analysed animals, excluding Cinta Senese and Hampshire.

Following these procedures it is possible to easily calculate pairwise statistics for all breeds against Cinta Senese (data not shown). Considering that potential frauds would not be originated by substituting meat coming from other local breeds (that are the only ones that have the T allele, excluding the Duroc and the Hampshire breeds) but only using cheaper meat originated from commercial populations or breeds that are usually of white belted coat colour and in which allele T is not present (or it could be present at a very low frequency, that was not possible to detect in our survey), i) \( P_{CS} \) would be 1 and ii) the error rate in assigning to Cinta Senese a meat with genotype TT in case there would be doubts from its possible hybrid origin would be equal to 0.00.

**4. Discussion**

Authentication of meat products obtained from DNA-based approaches is becoming more precise and powerful considering the large amount of genomics data that is currently available and that will be available in the future to extract useful information to answer a large number of new questions and problems arising in this field (Bertolini et al., 2015). One of the most challenging problems is the authentication of mono-breed labelled products usually obtained with local and less productive breeds (Fontanesi, 2009; Montowska and Pospiech, 2012). The problems arise by the fact that it is difficult to identify breed-specific markers as animals of different breeds can interbreed producing fertile hybrids and, for this reason, might share a large number of common
variants. Methods for the authentication of breed-specific products are key tools to defend the added economic value of these products that is the strategy that can obtain a sustainable conservation of local animal genetic resources, as part of an integrated development of their production chains (Fontanesi, 2009).

Local pig breeds represent an important resource that should be preserved and distinguished to generate economic values for niche markets that are based on their meat products. Cinta Senese products are probably one of the most valuable examples of niche pork production chain (Pugliese and Sirtori, 2012).

In this study, mining data obtained in genes affecting breed specific traits (in our case, coat colour), we identified a DNA marker in the KIT gene (g.43597545C>T) that can be useful to distinguish meat from belted pigs (the characteristic trait differentiating Cinta Senese pigs from many other pig breeds and commercial populations as well as from wild boars). Allele T was almost fixed in this breed (0.959) and for this reason can be considered its breed-characteristic allele, associated with the belted phenotype. This allele was also the most frequent in Hampshire (0.875), that is the other belted pig breed included in this study. However, at present Hampshire is not raised in Italy (only a few animals of this breed might be present in Italy), therefore there is no risk of substitution of Cinta Senese meat with meat obtained from Hampshire pigs. A potential risk could be derived by imported Hampshire meat but this is a remote possibility for the fact that pure Hampshire populations are maintained in breeding stocks for crossbreeding programs and are not commonly used for large commercial productions of final slaughtered pigs. Anyway, in this case the cost of the fraud would be high and not economically convenient.

Allele T was also observed in a few other breeds (Casertana, Nero Siciliano and Italian Duroc) in which it segregates at very low frequency. Only one TT animal, that apparently not had a belted phenotype, was observed in a non-belted breed. It could be possible that another very rare haplotype including allele T would be present in a few breeds or populations, as also suggested by Fontanesi et al. (2010). Moreover, the belted haplotype is also expected to segregate in non-belted...
breeds as deduced by the results of crossbreeding programs that sometimes produce belted pigs. However, the very low frequency of allele T in other pig populations is not a big problem for the authentication of Cinta Senese products. This is due to the fact that Casertana and Nero Siciliano are local pig populations for which there is no convenience in using their meat to substitute Cinta Senese pork products and usually pure Duroc pigs are not produced on large scale, as this breed is constituted by a small nucleus used to produce sires useful for crossbreeding programs. Therefore the frequency of the CT genotype in these breeds could not be considered to calculate the error rate and $P_{CS}$ derived by this SNP for Cinta Senese products. On the other hand, the low frequency of the alternative C allele in Cinta Senese does not substantially affect error rate and $P_{CS}$ in this pig breed. The presence of this allele in Cinta Senese (but also in Hampshire) can indirectly confirm what is obtained from crossbreeding within the breed that sometimes produces piglets without the typical belted phenotype.

Other studies have investigated the possibility to authenticate mono-breed pork products or to distinguish meat of domesticated pig breeds from meat of wild boars using DNA markers. For example, markers in genes affecting coat colour ($MC1R$; $OCA2$; and $KIT$) or other phenotypic traits, like vertebral number ($NR6A1$), that are breed or population specific traits, have been already proposed to this purpose (Carrión et al., 2003; Chung and Chung, 2010; Crovetti et al., 2007; D'Alessandro et al., 2007; Fernández et al., 2004; Fontanesi et al., 2005, 2014; Okumura et al., 2000). Other approaches have used a large number of SNPs derived from the Illumina Porcine SNP60 BeadChip array (that can genotype more than 60,000 SNPs) or identified by next generation sequencing of breed specific DNA pools to identify a subset of 96 SNPs (Wilkinson et al., 2012) or 193 SNPs (Ramos et al., 2011) for breed genetic discrimination among several pig breeds using different statistical approaches. Panels of microsatellites were also proposed for the same aim or to identify the level of admixture composition between different breeds (García et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2014). However the use of many markers for authentication of breed specific products has some practical and cost-limiting aspects to be solved for routine applications. A few other attempts based
on coat colour gene markers and multilocus microsatellite genotyping were also tested for traceability of Cinta Senese products. In particular, Crovetti et al. (2007) analysed three \textit{MC1R} polymorphisms, one of which can distinguish Duroc pigs from black pigs (Kijas et al., 1998), and the duplication breakpoint test for the \textit{KIT} gene that should give positive results only in white pigs (Giuffra et al., 2002). However, these markers have some limits derived by the fact that Cinta Senese breed is not fixed (or not almost fixed) for only one \textit{MC1R} allele (Crovetti et al., 2007; Fontanesi et al., 2005). In addition, as the test for the duplication breakpoint of the \textit{KIT} gene is designed to have an amplified product in case there is a duplication of the \textit{KIT} gene (usually in white pigs) or absence of amplification in case there is no duplication (all other pigs with different coat colours), it could be possible that the absence of amplification might be derived by PCR failure that would prevent the correct identification of the type of tested pigs. To avoid this problem, a multiplex PCR should be designed including a control amplified fragment that should always be produced in any types of pigs (D’Alessandro et al., 2007; Fontanesi et al., 2010). Anyway, the duplication breakpoint \textit{KIT} gene test cannot give specific indications about the breed of the pigs. Scali et al. (2012) proposed to use genotype information from 18 microsatellites to differentiate Cinta Senese pigs from Landrace, Large White, Large White x Landrace and Landrace x Cinta Senese pigs. However, their approach was not statistically supported as just 3 or 4 pigs for the other breeds or populations were included in the study that did not report the use of any standard sample to refer microsatellite allele size. In addition, more than one PCR and capillary electrophoresis might be needed to obtain the multilocus microsatellite information, even if these details were not reported in their work (Scali et al., 2012).

Our method based on the analysis of just one SNP (g.43597545C>T) that is highly informative for belted pigs can directly provide information from the type of pigs from which the meat is originated and is much more precise, cheaper and useful than the methods reported above based on \textit{MC1R} and duplication breakpoint \textit{KIT} gene analysis (Crovetti et al., 2007; Fontanesi et al., 2005) or microsatellite genotyping (Scali et al., 2012).
5. Conclusions

We have identified an SNP that is useful to distinguish meat of Cinta Senese pigs from meat of other non-belted pigs. This marker can be easily genotyped by PCR-RFLP using basic instruments commonly available in a molecular genetics laboratory. Therefore, it can be considered as an important tool to defend Cinta Senese pork chain from frauds. In addition, as this marker might capture the belted coat colour phenotype in pigs, it could be used to fix the belted phenotype in Cinta Senese population reducing the out-of-type animals in this breed obtained sometimes by crossing Cinta Senese pigs. Moreover, it will be interesting to evaluate if this marker could be associated with the belted phenotype in other local belted pigs that are present in Europe (i.e. Schwäbisch-Hällisches in Germany and Krškopoljski in Slovenia) and for which mono-breed products have been already proposed or could be marketed as a possible way to improve economic incomes for the farmers.
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Figure 1. *KIT* gene haplotypes identified in different pig populations (D = Italian Duroc; CS = Cinta Senese; G = Gray pigs; H = Hampshire; LW = Italian Large White; M = Meishan; NS = Nero Siciliano; P = Pietrain; WB = Wild boar) as defined in Fontanesi et al. (2010) with indicated the allele of the tag marker (g.43597545C>T). Haplotypes identified in Cinta Senese pigs are evidenced.

Figure 2. Resequenced *KIT* gene region including the tag marker g.43597545C>T (within squared brackets) and encompassing part of intron 17, exon 18, intron 18 and part of exon 19. Exon regions are indicated in bold and evidenced. Primer regions are underlined.

Figure 3. PCR-RFLP patterns obtained genotyping the g.43597545C>T SNP (M = molecular DNA ladder; the genotypes are indicated above each gel line).
**Table 1.** Several statistics and data obtained for the g.43597545C>T single nucleotide polymorphism of the *KIT* gene in different pig breeds and in wild boars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed/population</th>
<th>No. of pigs</th>
<th>Genotypes (no. of pigs)</th>
<th>Allele frequencies</th>
<th>HWE&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>δ&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinta Senese</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Large White</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Landrace</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Duroc</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietrain</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mora Romagnola</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casertana</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apulo-Calabrese</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nero Siciliano</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meishan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European wild boars</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (P value).

<sup>2</sup> Absolute delta (δ) allele frequency differential of the T allele between Cinta Senese and all other breed and populations.
Table 2. Pairwise population statistics comparing Cinta Senese data versus all other investigated breeds including $F_{st}$, genic differentiation (exact G test) and genotypic genetic distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breeds</th>
<th>$F_{st}$ (P value)</th>
<th>P value of the G test</th>
<th>Genotypic distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Italian Large White</td>
<td>0.9580 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Landrace</td>
<td>0.9449 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Duroc</td>
<td>0.9089 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pietrain</td>
<td>0.9376 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampshire</td>
<td>0.0532 (0.018)</td>
<td>0.2315</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mora Romagnola</td>
<td>0.9443 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casertana</td>
<td>0.9131 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apulo-Calabrese</td>
<td>0.9443 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nero Siciliano</td>
<td>0.9016 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meishan</td>
<td>0.9279 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European wild boars</td>
<td>0.9363 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>3.755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>